Strategic Stakeholder Engagement and Corporate Reputation

21 – 25 November 2027
Tunis (Tunisia)

UK Traininig
PARTNER



Strategic Stakeholder Engagement and Corporate Reputation

Ref: 328792_228071 **Date:** 21 – 25 November 2027 **Location:** Tunis (Tunisia) **Fees:** 4200 GBP

Strategic stakeholder engagement is the planned, two-way relationship an organisation keeps with the outside groups whose opinions decide its reputation, such as investors, local communities, media and policymakers. This one-week course is for communications and public relations professionals and ends with each delegate drafting an engagement and reputation plan for an organisation they know. Blackbird Training Centre runs this course on strategic stakeholder engagement and corporate reputation.

Introduction

Three references frame the course. The AA1000 Stakeholder Engagement Standard AA1000SES sets out how to plan, run and review engagement that is inclusive and responsive. ISO 26000 gives guidance on social responsibility, including how an organisation identifies and engages the communities it affects. Grunig's four models of public relations describe the move from one-way publicity to two-way symmetrical dialogue. The level is practitioner: delegates handle external audiences already and come to structure that work around reputation. Teaching uses simulations of a sensitive public issue and a media crisis, with cases from energy, telecommunications and food manufacturing.

Course Objectives

- Distinguish corporate reputation from legitimacy and explain which external groups grant each one.
- Compare one-way communication with two-way engagement and select the model that suits a given public issue.
- Apply a power–interest grid to rank investors, communities, media and policymakers by risk and opportunity.
- Interpret the AA1000SES principles when designing how an organisation consults and responds to outside groups.
- Compare investor relations with community relations and choose messages and channels for each audience.
- Justify a crisis communication protocol and a set of reputation measures for senior approval.

Target Audience

- Communications and public relations practitioners who speak for the organisation, deciding which message each outside audience receives.
- Corporate affairs and public affairs staff who handle policymakers, judging when to consult and when to inform.
- Investor relations and sustainability reporting staff, choosing what to disclose and how to explain it.
- Community relations and corporate social responsibility staff, judging how local concerns should shape company decisions.
- Media relations staff who manage enquiries, deciding how to respond when an issue turns into a crisis.



Course Outline

Day 1: Reputation, Legitimacy and Engagement

- Reputation vs Legitimacy: Public Esteem Compared With an Accepted Right to Operate
- Stakeholder Definition and Influence Mapping: External Groups That Shape Organisational Outcomes
- Communication vs Engagement: Grunig's Models From Publicity to Two-Way Symmetrical Dialogue
- Trust Principles: Transparency, Consistency and Accountability in External Relationships
- Public–Private Partnership Case: How Engagement Choices Affected Public Acceptance

Day 2: Mapping External Audiences

- Power–Interest Grid: Classifying Investors, Communities, Media and Policymakers by Stake
- Internal vs External Stakeholders: Employees as Ambassadors Compared With Outside Audiences
- Prioritisation by Risk, Influence and Opportunity: Focusing Limited Communications Effort
- AA1000SES: Purpose, Scope, Inclusivity and Responsiveness of an Engagement Process
- Engagement Matrix: Linking Each External Audience to Corporate Strategy and Values

Day 3: Messages, Channels and Dialogue

- Investor Relations vs Community Relations: Different Information Needs, Formats and Channels
- Tailored Messaging: Framing One Corporate Decision for Media, Investors and Residents
- Persuasion and Influence Without Authority: Shaping Opinion Through Third-Party Advocates
- Difficult Messages: Managing Expectations When Announcing Unwelcome Decisions to the Public
- Coalitions and Multi-Stakeholder Dialogue: Simulation of a Sensitive Public Issue

Day 4: Conflict, Crisis and Credibility

- Issue vs Crisis: Early Warning Signs Compared With Events Demanding Immediate Response
- Sources of Stakeholder Conflict: Competing Interests Among Activists, Investors and Neighbours
- Negotiation for Alignment: Reaching Workable Agreements With Opposing External Groups
- Crisis Communication: Holding Statements, Spokespeople and Message Coordination Across Channels
- Credibility Under Pressure: Keeping Integrity During Media Scrutiny and Public Controversy

Day 5: Writing the Engagement and Reputation Plan

- CSR vs ESG: Voluntary Social Commitments Compared With Investor-Facing Performance Disclosure
- ISO 26000: Social Responsibility Guidance Applied to the Community Engagement Section
- Reputation Measures and Digital Analytics: KPIs, Sentiment Tracking and Feedback Loops
- Stakeholder Capitalism and Collaborative Governance: Shifting Expectations Placed on Companies
- Engagement and Reputation Plan: Finalising Audiences, Messages, Crisis Protocol and Measures



Skills You Will Gain

- External stakeholder mapping
- Reputation risk assessment
- Audience-specific messaging
- Multi-stakeholder dialogue facilitation
- Crisis holding statements
- Sentiment analysis
- ESG disclosure framing

Why Attend This Course

- From issuing the same press release to every audience to framing one decision differently for investors, residents and journalists.
- From responding to criticism after it appears to spotting early warning signs and engaging before an issue escalates.
- From counting media mentions to tracking sentiment and trust among the groups that grant legitimacy.
- From scattered contact lists to an engagement and reputation plan with ranked audiences, messages, a crisis protocol and measures.

Conclusion

In short, strategic stakeholder engagement treats reputation as the result of how an organisation listens to and deals with the groups outside its walls, not as the product of publicity alone. The course separates reputation from legitimacy, communication from engagement, and an emerging issue from a full crisis. It is the right choice for communications, public affairs and community relations practitioners who face investors, residents and journalists, rather than for managers whose stakeholder work sits inside internal projects or executive strategy.

Course FAQs

What is strategic stakeholder engagement?

Strategic stakeholder engagement is the planned, two-way dialogue an organisation holds with outside groups such as investors, communities, media and policymakers, so that their concerns inform decisions and their trust sustains its reputation and licence to operate.

What is the difference between corporate reputation and legitimacy?

Reputation is how favourably outside groups judge an organisation compared with others; legitimacy is their acceptance that it has the right to operate at all. A company can hold a strong reputation with investors yet lose legitimacy with a local community.



Which standards does strategic stakeholder engagement training follow?

The course follows the AA1000 Stakeholder Engagement Standard for planning and reviewing engagement, ISO 26000 for social responsibility guidance, and Grunig's four models of public relations for comparing one-way and two-way approaches.

Is stakeholder engagement and reputation training right for a corporate communications officer?

Yes. A corporate communications officer who speaks to media, investors or communities gains a method for ranking those audiences, tailoring messages and preparing for crises. Someone whose stakeholders sit mainly within one internal project may prefer a project-focused course.

What do delegates produce in strategic stakeholder engagement and reputation training?

Each delegate drafts an engagement and reputation plan containing ranked external audiences, tailored messages and channels, a crisis communication protocol with holding statements, and reputation measures such as sentiment and trust indicators.

